Journal of Advanced Research in Nanoscience and Nanotechnology
Volume 2, Issue 2 - 2020, Pg. No. 20-24
Peer Reviewed Journal

Article

Physical and Chemical Properties of Nanoparticles with Its Toxic Nature

Anil Sharma

Director, Bhopal Institute of Technology and Management, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh, India.

I N F O

E-mail Id:
dr.anilsharma.globus@gmail.com
How to cite this article:
Sharma A. Physical and Chemical Properties of Nanoparticles with Its Toxic Nature. *J Adv Res Nanosci Nanotech* 2020; 2(2): 20-24.

Date of Submission: 2020-10-19
Date of Acceptance: 2020-11-19

A B S T R A C T

Nanotechnology has evolved in a wide range of disciplines as a leading research field with tremendous application. When nanomaterials are progressively developing part of daily consumer products, it is crucial to evaluate their effect on living organisms and the environment. Physicochemical properties of nanoparticles and engineered nanomaterials, including size, form, chemical composition, physiochemical stability, crystal structure, surface area, surface energy and surface roughness, usually influence the toxic properties of these nanomaterials. This forces the fraternity analysis to ascertain the role of these properties in the evaluation of associated toxicity issues. Despite this, questions relating to the physicochemical properties of nanomaterials as they contribute to the toxicity of nanomaterials are discussed in this paper.

Keywords: Nanotechnology, Toxicity, Physical Properties, Chemical Properties, Analysis

Introduction

Nanotechnology is considered to be the next logical step in integrating technology-based study with other sciences, including biology, chemistry and physics.[1] The Royal Society and the Royal Academy of Engineering have defined "nanoscience" as a study of the phenomena and modification of materials at atomic, molecular and macromolecular scales, while nanotechnology has been defined as the design, characterization, creation and implementation of structures, devices and systems by manipulating shape and size on a nanometer scale.[2] Present nanotechnology is a microscopic or even molecular construction device that could potentially help medicine, environmental protection, energy and space exploration.[3–6] In the last few years, the term "nanotechnology" has been exaggerated and almost synonymous with innovative and highly promising objects.[5,7–9] Manipulation of matter with at least one dimension of scale from 1 to 100 nanometres, i.e. nanomaterials, can be a more general concept of nanotechnology. Intriguingly, these nanomaterials have distinctive physicochemical and biological properties linked to their conventional counterparts, which give them their advantageous properties.

In the recent scenario, research involving numerous nanoparticles is advancing at an immense pace, with Engineered Nanomaterials (ENMs) increasingly being part of daily life in the form of cosmetics, food production, drug delivery, therapeutics, biosensors and so on and with these remarkable possibilities for the application of Nanoparticles (NPs) to the environment and to living beings. Increasing exposure to nanomaterials makes it imperative to ascertain the toxic effect of nanoparticle-based materials; hence, provided that the physical and chemical characteristics of nanomaterials influence the properties of nanoparticles, it is much additional authoritative to examine the physicochemical properties of nanomaterials, comprising size , surface area, solubility, chemical composition, shape, etc. In line with these specifics, an attempt has been made in this analysis to investigate the relationship of these physicochemical properties with the toxicity of engineered nanomaterials.[8]

It is generally known that nanoparticles show toxic manifestations across a wide variety of pathways and may result in allergy, fibrosis, organ failure, nephrotoxicity, haematological toxicity, neurotoxicity, hepatotoxicity, splenic toxicity and pulmonary toxicity, among others.

NP Toxicity

In addition to many industrial and medical applications, NPs and other nanomaterials have many toxicities and fundamental knowledge is needed to treat such toxic effects properly. During various human activities, NPs sybaritically enter the environment through water, soil and air. However, deliberately injects or discharges into soil or marine habitats changed NPs into environmental treatment. As a result, both stakeholders have been showing increasing interest. The benefits of magnetic NPs, for example their small scale, high responsiveness and ability, could be possible mortal factors, resulting in negative toxic and damaging cell-specific effects uncommon in the micron-sized portion.[9] The studies have also shown that NPs can reach the animals by absorption or inhalation and can be transferred to various bodies and tissues within the body, where NPs can respond to toxicological findings. While numerous researchers have studied the effects of magnetic NPs on animal cells and plant cells, there have to time only remained few magnetic NP toxicology scientists on plants. Ag NPs have been introduced into the aquatic ecosystem in many consumer products and they have resulted in the breakdown of Ag and thus have a negative impact on water organisms , such as bacteria, algae, fish and daphnia. The respiratory system is especially focused on the potential toxicity of NPs because it also receives maximum heart output as well as being the gateway to inhaled pollutants. NPs are widely used in bio-applications, but the potential for adverse health consequences due to continuous exposure at varying stages of human ambient exposure has not been established despite substantial growth and early acceptance of nanobiotechnology. The environmental consequences of NPs are predicted to increase in future, however. The ability to regulate protein concentrations, which depend on the particle size, curvature, shape and surface characteristics, working classes and free energy is one of the toxicities of NPs. This binding causes biologically detrimental effects through protein growth, fibrillation, cross linking of thiol and loss of the enzyme activity of certain particles. The development of radioactive ions is another model as the thermodynamic properties of materials promote particle breakdowns in suspended medium or biological conditions.[10-12]

NPs tend to accumulate in hard water, aquatic and other natural particles (colloids), which are contained in the fresh waters and are strongly influenced by their peculiar shape. Whereas eco-toxicity changes would be the state of dispersion, several abiotic considerations, including pH, salinity and organic matter, remain to be considered thoroughly in ecotourism studies.

Ultrafine unit of nanometers (nm; 1 nm=10-9 m) with dimensions of nanoparticle. In the real world, nanoparticles are also created by human action. Due to their submicroscopic size, they have distinctive material properties. In a range of areas, chemistry, catalysis and environmental remediation can find practical applications.

The physical and chemical properties of nanoparticles are also distinctive. For instance, nanoparticles may have extremely distinct electrical, optical and chemical propensities than bulk components. Objects on the nanoscale are extremely distinct from larger ones and the physical and chemical properties of particles of exceedingly small dimensions are difficult to predict too.

Form, dimensionality, surface characteristics and internal composition are the major parameters of nanoparticles. Aerosoles (solids or air fluids), a suspension (solids in liquids) or emulsions (liquids in fluids) are available to contain nanoparticles. In the presence of these compounds, the properties of nanoparticles may be modified.

Owing to the association and lifetime with other chemicals or particles, the composition of a single nanopart can be complex. There are also complicated and mostly undetermined chemical procedures getting place on the sides of nanoparticles.

There are various ways nanoparticles can interact. They will remain free or party together based on their attractive or repulsive touch powers. There are hard to explain partnerships as well. Gas-trapped nanoparticles tend to bind to each other rather than liquids.

Nanoparticles' Physicochemical Properties and Toxicity Impact

Indeed, nanomaterials have unique properties with respect to bulk counterparts that give them beneficial characteristics; they may also ironically provide them with unique toxicity mechanisms. As described in the following sections, toxicity has usually been believed to arise from the size and surface area of nanomaterials, their composition, their shapes etc.[13-15]

Size and Surface Area of the Particles

In the association of materials with the biologic environment, the particle size and the surface region play an important role. The reduction of the material size continues to lead to an increase in the surface area in relation with the thickness, causing nanomaterial surfaces to be more sensitive to themselves and the neighboring environment. It is necessary to know how the system responds and distributes and extracts materials by the particle size and surface area. Different biological processes, including endocytosis, cell absorption and endocytic particle processing capacity have been established, depending on the material dimensions.[12,16] Due to the more nuanced nature of NPs in biological systems and their thorough knowledge of the particles it is still hard to ascertain in vivo[17,18] though separate researchers have tested the toxicity issue of various in-vitro testing of the NP's of varying sizes using different cell type, cultures and exposure times.[17,18] Generally, their capacity to enter biological structures can be due to nano-particular toxicity[19,20] thereafter altering the composition of the various macromolecules[21] and thereby influencing the crucial biological functions.

The development of oxidative reactions by the formation of free radicals is a principal mechanism for in vivo toxicity of ENMs. Size has a decisive role to play, as many researchers have found out, the smaller the size is and the more ROS it is able to form. These free radicals pose threats for biological processes, mostly due to disruption to the DNA, lipid oxidation and inflammatory reactions.

Moreover, several studies with a different type of nanoparticles have shown that the surface area is also a critical element in the display in rodents of toxic manifests (lung and other inflammatory reactions produced by epithelial diseases).[22] The surface area increases with a decreased volume of nanoparticles, resulting in a dose-dependent rise in nanomaterial oxidation and DNA damage[24] significantly greater than the same mass dose of particles.[24]

Their pharmacological activity also influences the scale of the nanoparticles. NP's below 50 nm (administered intravenous), which are transverse to virtually all tissues and potentially cause toxic manifestations in the various tissues, were found to be quickly absorbed by RES, which stops their pathway to other tissues, on the other hand NP's greater than 50 nm (particularly 100-200 nm positive charged particles),[25] Although clearing by the Reticulo Endothelial System (RES) protects other tissues, the main objectives for oxidative stress are RES bodies such as the liver and spleen.

Various toxicological experiments showed the adverse effects of tiny nanoparticles < 100 nm, on larger particles of the same material, in the field of respiratory health.[24,26] Particles of varying types inhaled have different fractional accumulation inside the respiratory tract of the human being. In both regions the ultrafine particles of < 100 nm in diameter were deposited, while in the tracheobronchial zone particles of < 10 nm and in the alveolar field particles of 10 to 20 nm were reposited.[27] As a result, it has been found that the translocation or distribution of NP's depends on quantity that determines basically the toxicity issues.

The Physical and Chemical Properties of Nanoparticles

The main parameters are their shape and the morphological substructure (including aspect ratios, where required). Nanoparticles are considered as aerosols, or a suspension, or emulsions (mostly stable or fluid phases in air). In the presence of chemical agents (surfactants), the surface and interfacial properties can be modified. Such agents could be stabilized indirectly by maintaining the particle charge and changing the exterior layer of the particle against coagulation or aggregation. Based on the nanoparticle 's growth history and life, overly complicated configurations are to be expected, potentially complex mixtures of adsorbates. For instance, various agents are vulnerable to particle condensation during cooling and subjecting to different atmospheric atmospheres in the normal context of combustion nanoparticles. Complex surface chemical processes are important and only a few particulate model systems have been developed. Polyelectrolytes have been used for manipulating surface properties and interactions among particles and their atmosphere at the nanoparticle-liquid interface. They have been working in a range of technology, with adherence, lubrication, stabilization and self-controlled colloidal dispersion flocculation.[4,5,11]

The basic representation of a nanoparticle differs as a ball or a droplet at every spot between the angstrom level and the micrometre scale. The physical as well as chemical properties of atom and molecular origins are complexly extracted. For instance, in bulk or on extended surfaces, the electronic and optical features and chemical reactivity of small clusters vary fully from the better understanding properties of each product. The evolution of these particle-size properties involves complex quantum mechanical models and typically very well defined conditions are required in order to compare experiments and theoretical predictions.

Nanoparticle-the Nanoparticle Relationship

At nanoscale, particulate interactions are controlling either by the weak forces of Van der Waals, stronger polar and electrostatic interactions, or covalent interactions. The interaction between the intrapartum is determined by aggregation of the particles, depending on the viscosity and polarity of the fluid. The surface layer may be modified to improve or inhibit the colloid 's tendency to coagulate. Physical processes like glow discharge or photoemission can lead to charges on suspended air nanoparticles. Electrochemical processes on the surface will stabilize the particle charge in liquids. Fluid interactions are critical to understanding the physical and chemical processes and the temporal creation of free nana-particles in the details of nanoparticles-nanoparticles contact forces and nanoparticles. Becoming impossible to identify because of the small number of molecules involved in the surface-active layer. Surfactants provide surface energy, loading and dissolving. The term “free nanoparticle” can easily be misinterpreted because it is necessary to interact nanoparticles and interact with nanoparticles. Attractive or repulsive contact forces determine the destiny of nanoparticles both independently and collectively. This interaction with nanoparticles that lead to aggregates and/ or agglomerates will affect their behavior. Aggregation is calculated in the case of gas suspensions by size and diffusion and typically, coagulation is faster than in liquids since the adhesion coefficient is nearer to unity than in liquids.

Since the last century, nanotechnology has become a popular field of science. Different groundbreaking advances in nanotechnology have arisen since Nobel Prizewinner Richard P. Feynman launched “nanotechnology” in the process of his famous 1959 lecture There’s plenty of room at the bottom, Feynman, 1959. At nanoscale stage, nanotechnology generated materials of various forms. A broad variety of materials are nanoparticles (NPs) containing particles with at least one dimension below 100 nm. These materials may be 0D, 1D, 2D or 3D varying on the total shape. Researchers noticed that size could impact the substance’s physiochemical properties, e.g. optical properties, the significance of these substances was understood. 20-nm (Au), platinum (Pt), silver (Ag) and palladium (Pd) are NPs of varying coloration’s: red wine, yellowish orange, black and black and dark black. These NPs had distinctive colors and properties in varying sizes and shapes for bioimaging use. The solution colour varies because of the variation in appearance ratio, nanoshell thickness and golden concentration percentage. The alteration of each of the above described variables changes the absorption properties of the NPs and thus different absorption colours. NPs are not simple molecules and consist of 3 levels , i.e. a) a surface layer that can function with many molecules, metal ions, surfactants and polymers. NPs are also not simple molecules themselves. (b) the layer of the shell that is chemically different from the core in all respects and (c) the core that is fundamentally fundamental and normally the NP itself. These materials attracted a great deal of interest from multidisciplinary researchers because of these remarkable characteristics. NPs may be used for delivery of drugs, for chemical and biological sensing, for CO2 capture sensing and for related purposes.[22-25]

NPS Physicochemical Features

As mentioned above, NPs are unique and appropriate for various applications with different physiochemical characteristics such as wide field, mechanically solid, optically active and chemically reactive. In the below section you can speak about some of their critical properties. The optical and electronic properties of NPs are interdependent to a greater extent. For eg, NPs are size-dependent and have a powerful UV-visible extinction band which is not present in the spectrum of bulk metal. This arousal band results from the incidental frequency of photons being constant in the reciprocal arousal of leading electrons and is called LSPR. LSPR enthusiasm results in absorption of the wavelength selection with an unusually high molar resonance excitation coefficient Ray light dispersion with an efficiency comparable to that of 10 fluorophorescentes and enhanced local electromagnetic fields near the NPs surface which enhances spectroscopy. It is well known that the maximum wavelength of the LSPR spectrum depends on the size, form and interparticle distance of the NPs, their own dielectric characteristics, as well as those of their local atmosphere, including substrates. Rusty colour seen in the door/ windows of the bleached glass, while Ag nPs are usually yellow, are responsible for the colloidal gold nips. Free electrons are easily transportable by nano- materials on the surface of such NPs (d electrons in Ag and gold). For Ag and Gold, the mean free route is 50 nm, which is higher than the NPs of these materials. As such, after light interaction, no bulk dispersion is required, instead of a standing resonance state which in these NPs is responsible for LSPR. Magnetic properties Researchers from different backgrounds, including heterogeneous and homogeneous catalysis, biomedicine, magnetic fluids, data storage of MRI and environmental regeneration, are exceedingly curious to Magnetic NPs. Magnetic NPs are also highly fascinating.[8,12,18,23-26]

Conclusion

Nanotechnology is seen as a booming sector with a range of opportunities for human health and the rapid growth in the field; the toxicity issues associated with nanomaterials are becoming increasingly imperative to be tested.

Since the composition defines primarily the toxicity of bulk materials, additional physicochemical properties (size, surface area , surface chemistry, surface roughness, dispersive medium and agglomerate) play a key role in assessing the toxicity of nanomaterials. With the launch of newer nanomaterial-based products on a regular basis on the market, there is an important need to reduce the awareness gap between the physicochemical properties and their effect on the symptom of toxicity problems. In this way, these physical-chemical properties can surely be maneuvered for their safer use in a variety of areas.

In this study, the NPs, their shapes, synthesis, features, physio-chemical properties and applications were described in detail. Different characterization methods have shown that the nPs vary in size from a few nanometers to 500 nm, such as SEM-, TEM and XRD. Although it is important to control morphology. The NPs have a wide surface area so they are limited and are suitable for a range of applications. Furthermore, the optical properties of these materials in photocatalytic applications are also the dominant components. For the control of simple morphology, size and magnet properties of NPs, synthetic methods can be useful. While NPs are beneficial to many applications, due to their uncontrollable usage and release into the natural world there are also certain health hazard concerns which should be considered to increase the convenience and environmental protection of NPs.

References

1. The appropriateness of existing methodologies to assess the potential risks associated with engineered and adventitious products of nanotechnologies. 3.4 Nanoparticles: *Physical and Chemical Properties* 2006; 13.
2. Khan I, Khalid S, Khan I. Nanoparticles: Properties, applications and toxicities. *Arabian journal of chemistry* 2019; 12(7): 908-931.
3. Gatoo MA, Naseem S, Arfat MY et al. Physicochemical Properties of Nanomaterials: Implication in Associated Toxic Manifestations. *Bio Med Research International* 2014. https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/498420
4. Subbenaik, Sanmathi Chavalmane. Physical and chemical nature of nanoparticles. Plant nanotechnology. Springer, Cham, 2016; 15-27.
5. Sukhanova, Alyona, et al. Dependence of nanoparticle toxicity on their physical and chemical properties. *Nanoscale research letters* 2018; 13(1): 44.
6. Khadeeja P, Banse V, Ledwani L. Green synthesis of nanoparticles: their advantages and disadvantages. AIP conference proceedings. 2016; 1724(1).
7. Khan I, Khalid S, Khan I. Nanoparticles: Properties, applications and toxicities. *Arabian journal of chemistry* 2019; 12(7): 908-931.
8. Pareek V, Gupta R, Panwar J. Do physico-chemical properties of silver nanoparticles decide their interaction with biological media and bactericidal action? A review. Materials Science and Engineering: C 90. 2018; 739-749.
9. Saleh, Tawfik A. Nanomaterials: Classification, properties and environmental toxicities. *Environmental Technology & Innovation* 2020; 101067.
10. Kumar A, Dixit CK. Methods for characterization of nanoparticles. Advances in nanomedicine for the delivery of therapeutic nucleic acids. Woodhead Publishing, 2017; 43-58.
11. Andhare DD et al. Structural and Chemical Properties of ZnFe2O4 Nanoparticles Synthesised by Chemical Co-Precipitation Technique. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* 2020; 1644(1).
12. Mishra D, Arora R, Lahiri S. A novel process for making alkaline iron oxide nanoparticles by a solvo thermal approach. *Journal of Structural Chemistry* 2014; 55(3): 525-529.
13. Soares, Paula IP et al. Thermal and magnetic properties of chitosan-iron oxide nanoparticles. *Carbohydrate polymers* 2016; 149: 382-390.
14. Mohamad AT, Kaur J, Sidik NAC et al. Nanoparticles: A review on their synthesis, characterization and physicochemical properties for energy technology industry. *Journal of Advanced Research in Fluid Mechanics and Thermal Sciences* 2018; 46(1): 1-10.
15. Zhang XF, Liu ZG, Shen W et al. Silver nanoparticles: synthesis, characterization, properties, applications and therapeutic approaches. *International journal of molecular sciences* 2016; 17(9): 1534.
16. Ruttkay-Nedecky B, Krystofova O, Nejdl L et al. Nanoparticles based on essential metals and their phytotoxicity. *Journal of Nanobiotechnology* 2017; 15(1): 33.
17. Gottimukkala KSV, Harika RP, Zamare D. Green synthesis of iron nanoparticles using green tea leaves extract. *J Nanomed Biother Discov* 2017; 7: 151.
18. Mishra D, Arora R, Lahiri S et al. Synthesis and characterization of iron oxide nanoparticles by solvothermal method. *Protection of Metals and Physical Chemistry of Surfaces* 2014; 50(5): 628-631.
19. Hussain SM, Hess KL, Gearhart JM et al. In vitro toxicity of nanoparticles in BRL 3A rat liver cells. *Toxicology in vitro* 2005; 19(7): 975-983.
20. Bahadar H, Maqbool F, Niaz K et al. Toxicity of nanoparticles and an overview of current experimental models. *Iranian biomedical journal* 2016; 20(1): 1.
21. Li X, Wang L, Fan Y et al. Biocompatibility and toxicity of nanoparticles and nanotubes. *Journal of Nanomaterials* 2012.
22. Fard JK, Jafari S, Eghbal MA. A review of molecular mechanisms involved in toxicity of nanoparticles. *Advanced pharmaceutical bulletin* 2015; 5(4): 447.
23. Srivastava V, Gusain D, Sharma YC. Critical review on the toxicity of some widely used engineered nanoparticles. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research* 2015; 54(24): 6209-6233.
24. Buzea C, Pacheco II, Robbie K. Nanomaterials and nanoparticles: sources and toxicity. *Biointerphases* 2007; 2(4): MR17-MR71.
25. Maurer-Jones MA, Love SA, Meierhofer S et al. Toxicity of nanoparticles to brine shrimp: An introduction to nanotoxicity and interdisciplinary science. *Journal of Chemical Education* 2013; 90(4): 475-478.
26. Huber DL. Synthesis, properties and applications of iron nanoparticles. *Small* 2005; 1(5): 482-501.