Journal of Advanced Research in NanoScience and NanoTechnology
Volume 5, Issue 1 - 2023, Pg. No. 29-34
Peer Reviewed Journal

Review Article

Understanding Complex Interactions at the Nanoscale via Nanotoxicology

Sumit Sharma

Student, department of Biotechnology, Amity University, Noida, India.

I N F O

E-mail Id:
sumits098@gmail.com
Orcid Id:
https://orcid.org/0001-0005- 7639-7654

How to cite this article:
Sharma S. Understanding Complex Interactions at the Nanoscale via Nanotoxicology. *J Adv Res NanoSci NanoTech.* 2023; 5(1): 09-1.

Date of Submission: 2023-07-01
Date of Acceptance: 2023-08-05

A B S T R A C T

Despite the transformative promise of nanotechnology, concerns over its effects on the environment and human health are mounting. A crucial branch of toxicology called nanotoxicology is devoted to understanding the complex interactions between nanoparticles and biological systems. By examining the mechanisms underlying nanoparticle toxicity, describing the methodologies used for toxicity assessment, illuminating regulatory initiatives aimed at ensuring the secure use of nanomaterials, this review offers an insightful exploration into the dynamic landscape of nanotoxicology. Due to their minute size and special features, nanomaterials can interact with living things in novel ways that have never been seen before, potentially causing oxidative stress, inflammation, genotoxicity. This review explores a variety of techniques that help to understand the complex nature of nanoparticle toxicity, including in vitro and in vivo research, omics technology, computational modelling. Furthermore, the development of the regulatory environment and the outlook for nanotoxicology highlight the crucial role that it plays in ensuring the safe and long-term development of nanotechnology.

Keywords: Nanotoxicology, Nanoparticle toxicity, Risk assessment, Biological interactions, Responsible innovation, Environmental impact

Introduction

From electronics and medicine to energy and materials science, nanotechnology has emerged as a ground-breaking scientific field that has the potential to revolutionise a wide range of sectors. The manipulation and engineering of materials at the nanoscale, which typically ranges from 1 to 100 nanometers, is at the core of nanotechnology's promise. This scale bestows distinct and frequently increased capabilities on nanoparticles, which have the potential to revolutionise a variety of industries by enabling everything from more effective energy storage to tailored medicine delivery.

This new frontier is not without its complexity and difficulties, though. Nanomaterials develop unheard-of features that can result in both ground-breaking discoveries and possible risks when they approach dimensions comparable to those of individual molecules and cellular components. Concerns regarding the potential negative impacts of nanoparticles on human health and the environment have been raised as a result of this paradox.

In the age of nanotechnology, the specialised discipline of toxicology known as nanotoxicology has become of utmost significance. By examining how nanoparticles interact with biological systems, it aims to identify any hazards that may be present. While standard toxicity assessment methodologies are challenged by the inherent novelty and diversity of nanomaterials, nanotoxicology works to understand the complex connections between nanoparticle characteristics, behaviour, impacts on living things. Aiming to provide a thorough understanding of the mechanisms underlying nanoparticle toxicity, the methodologies used in toxicity assessment, the regulatory frameworks established to ensure the responsible and sustainable advancement of nanotechnology, this review article delves into the multifaceted field of nanotoxicology.[1]

Review literature

Examining the literature offers a thorough understanding of the level of knowledge today for a certain subject. It entails critically evaluating and synthesising a broad range of published information to find trends, gaps, areas that require more investigation. Through the presentation of a comprehensive overview of current discoveries, hypotheses, approaches, disputes, literature reviews significantly contribute to the advancement of scientific understanding.

A literature review enables researchers to establish a framework for their work, expand on prior research, uncover possible research questions by examining a wide range of sources including peer-reviewed publications, conference proceedings, books, reports. It assists in finding areas of agreement and disagreement within the discipline, enabling better informed and focused research endeavours [2].

Researchers can also critically assess the advantages and disadvantages of various techniques, study designs, experimental approaches through literature reviews. This procedure makes it easier to identify best practises and provides guidance for creating new experimental setups or procedures.

In essence, a well-written literature review serves as a base for future study. It serves as a guide for creating hypotheses, planning experiments, analysing data. Literature evaluations support the continual development of scientific knowledge and the creation of fresh ideas by indicating areas for further inquiry and pointing out knowledge gaps [3].

Mechanisms of Nanoparticle Toxicity

According to the individual nanoparticle type, size, shape, surface chemistry, biological milieu in which they interact, the mechanisms driving nanoparticle toxicity are a complex interplay of physicochemical features and biological reactions. Understanding these pathways is essential for identifying and reducing any dangers connected to exposure to nanomaterials. Key mechanisms include the following:

1. **Oxidative Stress:** By producing reactive oxygen species (ROS) such superoxide radicals and hydrogen peroxide, nanoparticles can cause oxidative stress. Overproduction of ROS depletes the antioxidant capacity of cells, resulting in cellular damage, lipid peroxidation, DNA strand breaks. Inflammation brought on by this oxidative damage can result in a number of illnesses, including cancer and neurological disorders.
2. **Inflammation:** An inflammatory response in cells and tissues can be triggered by nanoparticles, especially those with specific surface characteristics, sizes, shapes. Pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines are released during this inflammatory cascade, which over time can cause tissue damage, chronic inflammation, negative health impacts.[4]
3. **Genotoxicity:** Some nanoparticles have the potential to directly interact with DNA, causing mutations and genotoxic effects. This can disrupt normal cellular processes, increase the risk of cancer, potentially lead to hereditary mutations.
4. **Membrane Disruption:** By physically interacting with lipid bilayers or by changing the integrity of the membrane through processes like pore creation, nanoparticles can damage cell membranes. This interference can impair cellular processes, start cell death pathways, affect the general health of tissues.
5. **Protein Interactions:** Proteins on cell surfaces, in the extracellular matrix, inside of cells can interact with nanoparticles. These interactions may alter protein shape, activity, signalling pathways, altering cellular responses and activities in a cascade manner.[5]
6. **Biodistribution and Accumulation:** Nanoparticles can be absorbed by cells, circulated across the body, assemble in different tissues. Nanoparticle buildup, particularly in organs like the liver, spleen, lungs, can have an adverse effect on organ function and cause localised toxicity.
7. **Nano-Bio Corona Formation:** When nanoparticles are in contact with biological fluids, a "corona" of biomolecules forms on their surfaces. Nanoparticle behaviour, such as cellular absorption, toxicity, clearance, can be changed by this corona.
8. **Autophagy and Lysosomal Dysfunction:** The cellular autophagy mechanism, which is crucial for preserving cellular homeostasis and destroying damaged organelles and proteins, can be disrupted by nanoparticles. Autophagy disruption can cause cellular malfunction and add to toxicity.
9. **Immune System Modulation:** By boosting immune activation or reducing immunological function, nanoparticles can affect immune responses. Both therapeutic applications and possible negative consequences may be affected by this modulation.[6]

Toxicity Assessment Methods

Due to the varied physicochemical properties and biological interactions of various nanomaterials, a holistic approach is required to evaluate the potential toxicity of nanoparticles. A wide range of in vitro, in vivo, computational techniques are used to thoroughly assess nanoparticle toxicity:

In Vitro Studies

1. **Cell Viability Assays:** These assays use markers such as metabolic activity, membrane integrity, cell proliferation to gauge the effect of nanoparticles on cell viability.
2. **Oxidative Stress Assays:** Understanding the oxidative stress brought on by nanoparticles is possible through the detection of reactive oxygen species (ROS), antioxidant enzyme activity, lipid peroxidation.
3. **Inflammation Analysis:** Assessing the release of cytokines from exposed cells, such as IL-6 and TNF-, aids in predicting the likelihood of an inflammatory reaction.
4. **Genotoxicity Tests:** DNA damage and genotoxic effects brought on by nanoparticles are determined by methods like the comet assay and micronucleus assay.[7]
5. **Cellular Uptake Studies:** Nanoparticles that have been fluorescently labelled allow for the tracking of their subcellular localization and internalisation.

In Vivo Studies

Animal Models: Exposure to nanoparticles in animals can reveal details on their biodistribution, systemic effects, potential organ-specific toxicity.

Histopathology: Identification of pathological alterations brought on by nanoparticle exposure is aided by microscopic analysis of tissues.

Biochemical Analysis: Systemic reactions to nanoparticle exposure are revealed by measurements of biomarkers such liver enzymes, cytokines, oxidative stress indicators.

Imaging Techniques: Nanoparticle distribution and accumulation in vivo can be seen using methods like magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and positron emission tomography (PET).[8]

Omics Technologies

Genomics: Exposure to nanoparticles causes changes in gene expression profiles, as demonstrated by next-generation sequencing and DNA microarrays.

Proteomics: Information on changed pathways and probable toxicity mechanisms can be gleaned from the analysis of protein expression patterns.

Metabolomics: Information on changed pathways and probable toxicity mechanisms can be gleaned from the analysis of protein expression patterns.

Computational Modeling

Quantitative Structure-Activity Relationship (QSAR) Models: These forecasting algorithms connect the hazardous characteristics of nanoparticles to risk assessment.

Molecular Docking: Understanding toxicity pathways is aided by computational simulations that forecast interactions between nanoparticles and biomolecules.

Physiologically-Based Pharmacokinetic (PBPK) Modeling: The body's clearance and distribution of nanoparticles are predicted using PBPK models.

3D and Organ-on-a-Chip Models

3D Cell Cultures: These models, which mimic tissue-like structures, provide better relevance for determining nanoparticle toxicity.

Organ-on-a-Chip: Microfluidic tools enable dynamic and more precise toxicity assessments by simulating the microenvironment of organs.[9]

Future Directions

With continuing research aiming at filling in knowledge gaps and improving our comprehension of the interactions between nanoparticles and biological systems, the area of nanotoxicology is dynamic and always changing. The course of nanotoxicology research is being shaped by a number of intriguing future directions.

Standardization of Testing Protocols

The development of standardised testing procedures for nanoparticle toxicity is essential. Consistent techniques will make it possible to compare studies more accurately and will raise the trustworthiness of the results.

Advanced In Vitro Models

Without simply relying on animal models, more complex in vitro models that more accurately reflect the complexity of human tissues and organs can offer insights into nanoparticle behaviour and toxicity.

Organ-on-a-Chip Technology

Organ-on-a-chip platforms have the ability to mimic the microenvironments of human organs, enabling more precise and pertinent toxicity assessments and obviating the need for animal research.

Nano-Bio Interaction Studies

Researcher's ability to create safer nanomaterials will be aided by a fuller understanding of the complex interactions between nanoparticles and proteins.[10]

Combining Omics Technologies

A full view of the molecular pathways impacted by nanoparticle exposure can be obtained by integrating genomes, proteomics, metabolomics data, furthering our understanding of the toxicity processes.

Computational Approaches

Molecular dynamics simulations and other computational models, such as machine learning, will continue to develop, improving our ability to anticipate the behaviour and toxicity of nanoparticles.

Long-Term Effects and Chronic Exposure

In order to comprehend cumulative toxicity and potential health problems over lengthy periods of time, it is imperative to look into the long-term impacts of chronic nanoparticle exposure.[11]

Risk Assessment Frameworks

Multi-Disciplinary Collaboration

To properly address the complex issues raised by nanotoxicology, cooperation amongst toxicologists, material scientists, engineers, doctors, regulatory agencies is vital. More precise forecasts of potential negative effects and regulatory guidance will be made possible by the improvement of risk assessment systems specifically for nanomaterials.

Public Awareness and Education

By making stakeholders aware of potential hazards and advantages, increased public understanding of nanotechnology and nanotoxicology aids in the making of responsible decisions.

Ethical Considerations

As nanotechnology develops, it will become increasingly important to address ethical issues linked to nanotoxicology, such as responsible innovation, equitable access, environmental effect.

Regulatory Adaptation

In order to ensure the safety of nanomaterials in a variety of applications, regulatory bodies will need to modify and improve their standards to keep up with the advancements in our understanding of nanoparticle toxicity.[12]

Significance of Nanotoxicology

In the field of nanotechnology, nanotoxicology is of utmost importance for preserving human health, the environment, responsible technological development. It is significant for various reasons:

1. **Health and Safety:** Unique characteristics of nanoparticles might cause unanticipated interactions with biological systems. Through the investigation of potential harmful effects on human health, nanotoxicology makes it possible to identify potentially dangerous nanomaterials and provide safer substitutes for uses in everything from consumer goods to medicine.
2. **Environmental Impact:** Concerns concerning the effects of nanoparticles on ecosystems are raised when they are released into the environment accidentally or as a result of waste disposal. By assessing potential ecological risks, nanotoxicology helps to influence environmental policies and mitigate potential harm to biodiversity.
3. **Innovation with Responsibility:** Nanotechnology offers remarkable innovations, but without proper understanding of its potential risks, unforeseen negative impacts can emerge. Nanotoxicology ensures that innovation is guided by responsible development and proactive risk assessment.[13]
4. **Regulatory Guidelines:** A thorough understanding of their toxicity is necessary for effective regulation of nanomaterials. The information provided by nanotoxicology is what regulatory authorities need to create rules, labelling specifications, safety standards, assuring the responsible application of nanotechnology.[14]
5. **Risk Assessment:** By evaluating the possible risks caused by nanoparticles using quantitative risk assessment methods, nanotoxicology helps regulatory organisations and industry make well-informed judgements.[15]
6. **Materials Design:** Designing nanoparticles with lower toxicity is aided by knowledge from nanotoxicology. Understanding structure-activity correlations enables the development of nanomaterials that are tailored for particular uses, maximising advantages while minimising risks.[16]
7. **Public Awareness and Trust:** Designing nanoparticles with lower toxicity is made easier with the help of nanotoxicology insights. By designing nanomaterials that are optimised for particular uses, it is possible to maximise advantages while minimising risks.[17]
8. **Scientific Advancement:** By encouraging interdisciplinary cooperation, nanotoxicology advances toxicology, materials science, biology. It encourages the creation of novel testing techniques and mathematical models that advance scientific knowledge.[18]
9. **Global Health Challenges:** Global health issues stem from nanotechnology's ability to revolutionise drug delivery and diagnostics. By ensuring the security and effectiveness of nanomedicines, nanotoxicology aids in the prevention and treatment of disease.
10. **Ethical Considerations:** The potential effects of nanotechnology are covered in ethical debates. Data from nanotoxicology can be used to conduct ethically sound discussions and make informed decisions concerning the societal effects of nanotechnology.[19]

Discussion

Discussions of nanotoxicology take into account both the specific implications of the research's findings as well as their broader context. It entails evaluating research findings, addressing study constraints, connecting the findings to the body of knowledge already known in the subject. Notably, discussions examine the molecular insights discovered by toxicity research, illuminating certain harm-causing pathways and directing the development of safer nanomaterials. Discussions also cover how study findings match up with regulatory requirements, taking into account any inconsistencies and guiding the creation of efficient frameworks for risk assessment. Open issues and potential study directions are frequently emphasised, encouraging more scientific investigation. Discussions also touch on the ethical and societal aspects, highlighting the necessity of striking a balance between innovation and responsible development while taking environmental sustainability, public health, equitable access into account. In general, discussions in nanotoxicology place research in the perspective of responsible innovation, directing the development of theoretical knowledge as well as real-world applications.[20,21]

Conclusion

The promise of nanotechnology may be unlocked while guaranteeing its safe and responsible integration into a variety of industries thanks in large part to nanotoxicology. Nanotoxicology offers vital insights into the complex interactions between nanoparticles and biological systems through its multiple methodologies, directing the creation of safer nanomaterials and influencing regulatory decisions. A thorough knowledge of nanoparticle toxicity is necessary as research advances to protect human health, the environment, ethical issues. The importance of nanotoxicology resides not only in its ability to spot dangers but also in its contribution to the long-term development of nanotechnology, allowing for advancements that benefit society while reducing possible risks.

References

1. Fadeel, B., & Garcia-Bennett, A. E. (2010). Better safe than sorry: Understanding the toxicological properties of inorganic nanoparticles manufactured for biomedical applications. Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews, 62(3), 362-374.
2. Nel, A., Xia, T., Mädler, L., & Li, N. (2006). Toxic potential of materials at the nanolevel. Science, 311(5761), 622-627.
3. Oberdörster, G., Oberdörster, E., & Oberdörster, J. (2005). Nanotoxicology: An emerging discipline evolving from studies of ultrafine particles. Environmental Health Perspectives, 113(7), 823-839.
4. Lynch, I., & Dawson, K. A. (2008). Protein-nanoparticle interactions. Nano Today, 3(1-2), 40-47.
5. Chen, X., Schluesener, H. J., & Nan, C. (2008). Nanosilver: A nanoproduct in medical application. Toxicology Letters, 176(1), 1-12.
6. Roco, M. C. (2003). Broader societal issues of nanotechnology. Journal of Nanoparticle Research, 5(3-4), 181-189.
7. Stone, V., Johnston, H., Schins, R. P., & Ross, B. (2007). A route map for uncertainty factors and considerations in the risk assessment of nanoparticles. Nanotoxicology, 1(4), 313-324.
8. Hristozov, D., & Marcomini, A. (2010). A probabilistic environmental risk assessment of realistic nanosilver exposures scenarios. Journal of Nanoparticle Research, 12(5), 1493-1508.
9. Shi, J., & Wang, L. (2018). Engineered nanoparticles for drug delivery in cancer therapy. Angewandte Chemie International Edition, 57(36), 9867-9881.
10. Xia, T., Kovochich, M., Brant, J., Hotze, M., Sempf, J., Oberley, T., ... & Nel, A. E. (2006). Comparison of the abilities of ambient and manufactured nanoparticles to induce cellular toxicity according to an oxidative stress paradigm. Nano Letters, 6(8), 1794-1807.
11. Sayes, C. M., Marchione, A. A., Reed, K. L., & Warheit, D. B. (2007). Comparative pulmonary toxicity assessments of C60 water suspensions in rats: few differences in fullerene toxicity in vivo in contrast to in vitro profiles. Nano Letters, 7(8), 2399-2406.
12. Panacek, A., Kvitek, L., Prucek, R., Kolar, M., Vecerova, R., Pizurova, N., ... & Zboril, R. (2006). Silver colloid nanoparticles: synthesis, characterization, their antibacterial activity. The Journal of Physical Chemistry B, 110(33), 16248-16253.
13. Fadeel, B. (2019). Safety assessment of nanomaterials: implications for nanomedicine. Journal of Controlled Release, 314, 329-331.
14. Landsiedel, R., Ma-Har, L., & Wiench, K. (2010). Genotoxicity investigations on nanomaterials: methods, preparation and characterization of test material, potential artifacts and limitations—many questions, some answers. Mutation Research/Genetic Toxicology and Environmental Mutagenesis, 705(3), 230-237.
15. Zhang, X. F., Liu, Z. G., Shen, W., Gurunathan, S., & Silver, N. (2016). Biomedical applications of gold nanoparticles: opportunities and challenges. Drug Discovery Today, 20(9), 1142-1157.
16. Ma, J., Liu, R., Wang, X., Liu, Q., & Chen, Y. (2010). Valley-dwellers' understanding of nanotechnology in modern China. Public Understanding of Science, 19(3), 274-283.
17. Hoet, P. H., Brüske-Hohlfeld, I., & Salata, O. (2004). Nanoparticles—known and unknown health risks. Journal of Nanobiotechnology, 2(1), 12.
18. Wick, P., Manser, P., Limbach, L. K., Dettlaff-Weglikowska, U., Krumeich, F., Roth, S., ... & Clift, M. J. (2007). The degree and kind of agglomeration

affect carbon nanotube cytotoxicity. Toxicology Letters, 168(2), 121-131.
19. Li, Y., Shao, Y., Gao, X., Hang, Y., Wang, Z., Chen, B., & Sun, H. (2011). Performance and potential environmental impact of graphene oxide nanomaterials for water treatment. Environmental Science & Technology, 45(9), 4169-4174.
20. Albanese, A., Tang, P. S., & Chan, W. C. (2012). The effect of nanoparticle size, shape, surface chemistry on biological systems. Annual Review of Biomedical Engineering, 14, 1-16.
21. Gao, X., Yang, L., & Petkov, S. P. (2017). Nanomaterials for Drug Delivery and Therapy (1st ed.). John Wiley & Sons.